

## Task-Oriented Training – lower extremity / mobility

Author, Year PEDro Score, Country	Sample size	Intervention	Outcome and significance: (+) significant (-) not significant
Barreca et al., 2004 PEDro score: 5	48 patients with acute/subacute stroke	Task-oriented sit-to-stand (STS) practice (n=25)  Vs.  Recreational therapy (n=23)  Treatment details: 45 minute sessions 3 times/week for 4 months. Both groups also received conventional rehabilitation.	<b>Point at which independent sit-to-stand was achieved or discharge from rehabilitation:</b> (-) Global Rating Scale (-) Dartmouth Primary Care Cooperative Information Project (+) Sit-to-stand* (+) Mean daily sit-to-stand repetitions (-) Duration of participation (-) Falls  * Calculated as number of participants/group who could stand from a 16" mat surface without using their hands, for 2 consecutive days.
Blennerhassett & Dite, 2004 PEDro score: 8	30 patients with acute/subacute stroke	Lower extremity task oriented (LETO) training (n=15)  Vs.  Upper extremity task oriented (UETO) training (n=15)  Treatment details: 1-hour sessions 5 days/week for 4 weeks.	<b>At 4 weeks (immediately post-treatment):</b> (-) Six Minute Walk Test* (-) Timed Up-and-Go* (-) Jebsen Taylor Hand Function Test (-) Motor Assessment Scale - Arm (-) Step Test  <b>At 6 months (follow-up):</b> (-) Six Minute Walk Test (-) Timed Up-and-Go (-) Jebsen Taylor Hand Function Test (-) Motor Assessment Scale - Arm (-) Step Test

**Task-Oriented Training – lower extremity / mobility**

Author, Year PEDro Score, Country	Sample size	Intervention	Outcome and significance: (+) significant (-) not significant
		<p>LETO: warm-up and endurance tasks using stationary bikes and treadmills, followed by functional tasks such as sit to stand, step-ups, obstacle course walking, standing balance, stretching as required, and strengthening using traditional gymnasium equipment.</p> <p>UETO: warm-up (arm ergometer) followed by functional tasks to improve reach and grasp, hand-eye coordination activities, stretching as required, and strengthening using traditional gymnasium equipment.</p> <p>Both groups also received conventional rehabilitation.</p>	<p>* A between-group difference that approached significance was found.</p>
<p>Choi &amp; Kang, 2015 PEDro score: 4</p>	<p>20 patients with chronic stroke</p>	<p>Lower extremity task-oriented training (n=10)</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>Conventional physical therapy (n=10)</p> <p>Treatment details: 30-minute sessions 5 times/week for 4 weeks.</p> <p>Lower extremity task-oriented training included indoor walking, outdoor walking, staircase climbing, wearing clothes, and picking up objects activities.</p>	<p><b>At 4 weeks (immediately post-treatment):</b> (+) Berg Balance Scale (+) Modified Barthel Index (+) Self-efficacy Scale</p> <p>Note: differences refer to changes in scores from pre- to post-treatment.</p>

## Task-Oriented Training – lower extremity / mobility

Author, Year PEDro Score, Country	Sample size	Intervention	Outcome and significance: (+) significant (-) not significant
Dean et al., 2000 PEDro score: 5	9 patients with chronic stroke	<p>Lower extremity task-oriented training (n=5)</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>Upper extremity training (n=4)</p> <p>Treatment Details: 1-hour sessions 3 times/week for 4 weeks. Included 10 workstations: (1) sitting at a table and reaching in different directions for objects located beyond arm's length; (2) sit-to-stand from various chair heights; (3) stepping forward, backward, and sideways onto blocks of various heights; (4) heel lifts in standing; (5) standing with the base of support constrained, with feet in parallel and tandem conditions reaching for objects, including down to the floor; (6) reciprocal leg flexion and extension using the Kinetron in standing; (7) standing up from a chair, walking a short distance, and returning to the chair; (8) walking on a treadmill; (9) walking over various surfaces and obstacles; (10) walking over slopes and stairs.</p>	<p><b>At 4 weeks (immediately post-treatment):</b> (+) 6-Minutes Walking Test (6MWT) (-) 10-Meters-Walking Test (10MWT) with assistive device (+) 10MWT without assistive device (+) Step Test (-) Timed Up-and-Go Test (+) Sit-to-Stand Ground Reaction Force</p> <p><b>At 2 month (follow-up):</b> (+) 6MWT (-) 10MWT with assistive device (+) 10MWT without assistive device (+) Step Test (-) Timed Up-and-Go Test</p>
Jonsdottir et al., 2010 PEDro score: 7	20 patients with chronic stroke	<p>Lower extremity task-oriented gait retraining using biofeedback (n=10)</p> <p>Vs.</p>	<p><b>At 7 weeks (immediately post-treatment) and at 3 months (follow-up):</b> (+) Peak ankle power (+) 8-Meter Walk Test (+) Stride length</p>

## Task-Oriented Training – lower extremity / mobility

Author, Year PEDro Score, Country	Sample size	Intervention	Outcome and significance: (+) significant (-) not significant
		<p>Conventional rehabilitation (n=10)</p> <p>Treatment details: 45-minute sessions 3 times/week for 7 weeks.</p>	(-) Peak knee flexion
Kim et al., 2012 PEDro score: 5	20 patients with chronic stroke	<p>Lower extremity task-oriented training + conventional physical therapy (n=10)</p> <p>vs.</p> <p>Conventional physical therapy alone (n=10)</p> <p>Treatment details: Lower extremity task-oriented training: 1-hour session 3 days/week for 4 weeks. Conventional physical therapy: 1-hour session 5 days/week for 4 weeks.</p> <p>Lower extremity task-oriented training comprised 10 walking-related tasks designed to strengthen the lower extremities, and enhance the walking balance, speed and distance in a progressive manner: (1) step-ups, (2) balance beam, (3) kicking a ball, (4) stand up and walk, (5) obstacle course, (6) treadmill, (7) walk and carry, (8) speed walk, (9) walk backwards, and (10) stairs. Before commencing training, the subjects warmed up for 5 minutes to improve their range of motion and flexibility. Each item</p>	<p><b>At 4 weeks (immediately post-treatment):</b></p> <p>(-) Trunk Impairment Scale (TIS) – static sitting balance</p> <p>(-) TIS – dynamic sitting balance</p> <p>(-) TIS – coordination</p> <p>(+) TIS – total</p> <p>(+) Berg Balance Scale</p> <p>(-) Timed Up &amp; Go Test</p> <p>(+) 10 Meter Walking Test</p>

**Task-Oriented Training – lower extremity / mobility**

Author, Year PEDro Score, Country	Sample size	Intervention	Outcome and significance: (+) significant (-) not significant
		was practiced for 5 minutes, and 1 minute of rest time was allowed between each item.	
Kim et al., 2015a PEDro score: 5	30 patients with subacute/chronic stroke	<p>Task-oriented training on tilt table (affected side knee belt fastened) (n=10)</p> <p>vs.</p> <p>One-leg standing training on tilt table (affected side knee belt fastened) (n=10)</p> <p>vs.</p> <p>Standard tilt table training (both knee belts fastened) (n=10)</p> <p>Treatment details: Tilt-table training: 20 minutes/weekday for 3 weeks.</p> <p>All groups received conventional rehabilitation for 30 minutes/weekday for 3 weeks.</p>	<p><b>At 3 weeks (immediately post-treatment):</b> Task-oriented tilt table training vs. standard tilt table training:</p> <p>(+) Muscle strength - hip flexors (+) Muscle strength - hip extensors (+) Muscle strength - knee flexors (+) Muscle strength - knee extensors (+) Muscle strength - ankle dorsiflexors (+) Muscle strength - ankle plantarflexors (+) Gait velocity (+) Cadence (+) Stride length (+) Gait symmetry ratio (+) Double support period</p> <p>One-leg standing tilt table training vs. standard tilt table training:</p> <p>(+) Muscle strength – hip flexors (+) Muscle strength - hip extensors (-) Muscle strength - knee flexors (-) Muscle strength – knee extensors (-) Muscle strength – ankle dorsiflexors</p>

**Task-Oriented Training – lower extremity / mobility**

Author, Year PEDro Score, Country	Sample size	Intervention	Outcome and significance: (+) significant (-) not significant
			(-) Muscle strength – ankle plantarflexors (+) Gait velocity (+) Cadence (-) Stride length (-) Gait symmetry ratio (-) Double support period  Task-oriented tilt table training vs. one-leg standing tilt table training:  (-) Muscle strength – hip flexors (-) Muscle strength – hip extensors (+) Muscle strength – knee flexors (+) Muscle strength – knee extensors (+) Muscle strength – ankle dorsiflexors (+) Muscle strength – ankle plantarflexors (-) Gait velocity (-) Cadence (+) Stride length (+) Gait symmetry ratio (+) Double support period
Kim et al., 2015b PEDro score: 5	39 patients with acute/subacute stroke	Task-oriented training on a tilt table + conventional therapy (n=13)  vs.	<b>At 3 weeks (immediately post-treatment):</b> Task-oriented tilt table training vs. standard tilt table training

**Task-Oriented Training – lower extremity / mobility**

Author, Year PEDro Score, Country	Sample size	Intervention	Outcome and significance: (+) significant (-) not significant
		<p>Standard tilt table training + conventional rehabilitation (n=13)</p> <p>vs.</p> <p>Time-matched conventional rehabilitation (n=13)</p> <p>Treatment details: Task-oriented training: 20 minutes/weekday for 3 weeks.</p> <p>Conventional rehabilitation: 30 minutes/weekday for 3 weeks.</p>	<p>(+) sEMG – biceps femoris (affected, less affected LE) (+) sEMG – medial gastrocnemius (affected, less affectedLE) (-) sEMG – rectus femoris (affected LE) (+) sEMG – rectus femoris (less affected LE) – extension only (-) sEMG – tibialis anterior (affected, less affected LE) (+) Barthel Index (+) Fugl-Meyer Assessment – lower extremities (-) National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (-) Hemiparesis severity (non-standardised) (-) Functional mobility (non-standardised)</p> <p>Task-oriented tilt table training vs. conventional rehabilitation</p> <p>(+) sEMG – biceps femoris (affected, less affected LE) (+) sEMG – medial gastrocnemius (affected, less affected LE) (-) sEMG – rectus femoris (affected LE) (+) sEMG – rectus femoris (less affected LE) – extension only (-) sEMG– tibialis anterior (affected, less affected LE)</p>

## Task-Oriented Training – lower extremity / mobility

Author, Year PEDro Score, Country	Sample size	Intervention	Outcome and significance: (+) significant (-) not significant
			(+) Barthel Index (+) Fugl-Meyer Assessment – lower extremities (+) National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (-) Hemiparesis severity (non-standardised) (-) Functional mobility (non-standardised)  Standard tilt table training vs. conventional rehabilitation  (-) EMG activations – biceps femoris (affected, less affected LE) (-) EMG activations – medial gastrocnemius (affected, less affected LE) (-) EMG activations – rectus femoris (affected, less affected LE) (-) EMG activations – tibialis anterior (affected, less affected LE) (+) Barthel Index (+) Fugl-Meyer Assessment – lower extremities (+) National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (-) Hemiparesis severity (non-standardised) (-) Functional mobility (non-standardised)
Kim et al., 2016 PEDro score: 6	20 patients with acute/subacute stroke	Lower extremity task oriented circuit training (n=10)  vs.	<b>At 4 weeks (immediately post-treatment):</b> (-) Fugl-Meyer Assessment – lower limb subscale (-) Berg Balance Scale

## Task-Oriented Training – lower extremity / mobility

Author, Year PEDro Score, Country	Sample size	Intervention	Outcome and significance: (+) significant (-) not significant
		<p>Physical therapy based on neurodevelopmental therapy (NDT) (n=10)</p> <p>Treatment details: Lower extremity task-oriented circuit training: 90-minutes/weekday for 4 weeks. Included a structured, progressive, inpatient circuit training program focused on mobility and gait training as well as physical fitness training: trunk exercise and active sitting practice, sit-to-stand practice, standing and walking practice, aerobic exercise training and strengthening training. NDT: 60-minute sessions 5 days/week for 4 weeks.</p>	<p>(-) 6-Minute Walk Test (-) Korean version of the Modified Barthel Index</p> <p>Note: differences refer to changes in scores from pre- to post-treatment.</p>
Langhammer & Stanghelle, 2000 PEDro score: 6	61 patients with acute stroke	<p>Lower-extremity task-oriented training according to motor relearning principles (n=33)</p> <p>vs.</p> <p>Bobath-based physiotherapy treatment (n=28)</p> <p>Treatment details: 40-minutes/weekday for the duration of hospitalization.</p>	<p><b>At 2 weeks post-admission to the hospital:</b> (-) Motor Assessment Scale – arm (-) Sjødring Motor Evaluation Scale (SMES) – arm function (-) SMES – leg function (-) SMES – trunk, balance and gait</p> <p><b>At 3 months post-stroke:</b> (+) Motor Assessment Scale – arm (+) SMES – arm function (-) SMES – leg function (-) SMES – trunk, balance and gait (+) Barthel Index (bowel/bladder/toilet)</p>

## Task-Oriented Training – lower extremity / mobility

Author, Year PEDro Score, Country	Sample size	Intervention	Outcome and significance: (+) significant (-) not significant
			(-) Barthel Index (feeding, transfers, personal hygiene, bathing, walking/wheelchair use, stairs, dressing) (-) Nottingham Health Profile
Marigold et al., 2005 PEDro score: 6	61 patients with chronic stroke	<p>Lower extremities task-oriented training (n=30) vs. Slow stretching/weight-shifting program (n=31)</p> <p>Treatment details: 1-hour sessions 3 times/week for 10 weeks.</p> <p>Lower extremity task-oriented training: standing in various postures and walking with various challenges, sit-to-stand movements, rapid knee raise while standing, and standing perturbations, eyes-closed conditions and foam surfaces were incorporated for many of the tasks.</p> <p>Stretching/weight-shifting exercise program: slow, low-impact movements consisting of stretching and weight shifting. Weight-shifting exercises incorporated tai chi-like movements and reaching tasks. Stretching of major muscle groups was performed while standing and on mats on the floor.</p>	<p><b>At 10 weeks (immediately post-treatment) and 1 month (follow-up):</b></p> (-) Berg Balance Scale (-) Timed Up & Go Test (+) Step reaction time (less time) (-) Activities-Specific Balance Confidence (-) Forced falls due to platform translation (fewer falls)* (-) Unforced falls (-) Nottingham Health Profile (-) Postural reflex (PR) – paretic tibialis anterior (-) PR – non paretic tibialis anterior (+) PR – paretic rectus femoris (-) PR – non paretic rectus femoris (-) PR – paretic medial gastrocnemius (-) PR – non paretic medial gastrocnemius (-) PR – paretic biceps femoris (-) PR – non paretic biceps femoris <p><b>At 1-month follow-up:</b></p> (-) Berg Balance Scale (-) Timed Up & Go Test (-) Step reaction time (less time)

## Task-Oriented Training – lower extremity / mobility

Author, Year PEDro Score, Country	Sample size	Intervention	Outcome and significance: (+) significant (-) not significant
			<p>(-) Activities-Specific Balance Confidence                      (-) Forced falls due to platform translation (fewer falls)*                      (-) Unforced falls                      (-) Nottingham Health Profile                      (-) PR – paretic tibialis anterior                      (-) PR – non paretic tibialis anterior                      (+) PR – paretic rectus femoris                      (-) PR – non paretic rectus femoris                      (-) PR – paretic medial gastrocnemius                      (-) PR – non paretic medial gastrocnemius                      (-) PR – paretic biceps femoris                      (-) PR – non paretic biceps femoris</p> <p>*It should be noted that, although the difference was not significant, number of falls decreased for the intervention group and increased for the control group following treatment.</p>
<p>McClellan &amp; Ada, 2004                      PEDro score: 7</p>	<p>23 patients with subacute/chronic stroke</p>	<p>Home-based lower extremity task-oriented training (n = 13)                       vs.                       Home-based upper limb training</p>	<p><b>At 6 weeks (immediately post-treatment):</b>                      (+) Functional Reach Test                      (-) Motor Assessment Scale- item 5 (walking)                      (-) Stroke Adapted Sickness Impact Profile</p> <p><b>At 2-month follow-up:</b>                      (+) Functional Reach Test</p>

## Task-Oriented Training – lower extremity / mobility

Author, Year PEDro Score, Country	Sample size	Intervention	Outcome and significance: (+) significant (-) not significant
		<p>(n=10)</p> <p>Treatment details:</p> <p>Both groups received individual treatment regimens for 6 weeks (details of intensity and frequency not detailed).</p> <p>Lower extremity task oriented training included exercises aimed to regain mobility in standing and walking. Exercises were provided in hierarchical manner with increase in challenge.</p>	<p>(-) Motor Assessment Scale- item 5 (walking)</p> <p>(-) Stroke Adapted Sickness Impact Profile</p>
<p>Mudge et al., 2009 PEDro score: 7</p>	<p>58 patients with chronic stroke</p>	<p>Lower extremities task-oriented training (n=31)</p> <p>vs.</p> <p>Social and educational classes (n=27)</p> <p>Treatment details: Task-oriented training: 50-60 minutes/session, 3 times/week for 4 weeks. Included 15 stations in the circuit training, which were graded to each participant's ability and progressed as tolerated. Each station contained either a task-oriented gait or standing balance activity, or strengthening of a lower extremity muscle in a way designed to improve gait.</p>	<p><b>At 4 weeks (post-treatment):</b></p> <p>(-) 10-Meter Walk Test (10MWT)</p> <p>(+) 6-Minute Walk Test (6MWT)</p> <p>(-) Rivermead Mobility Index</p> <p>(-) Activities-Specific Balance Confidence Scale</p> <p>(-) Physical Activity and Disability Scale</p> <p>(-) StepWatch Activity Monitor (SWAM) – mean steps/day</p> <p>(-) SWAM – peak activity index</p> <p>(-) SWAM – steps/minute</p> <p>(-) SWAM – percentage time inactive</p> <p><b>At 3-month follow-up:</b></p> <p>(+) 10MWT*</p> <p>(-) 6MWT</p>

## Task-Oriented Training – lower extremity / mobility

Author, Year PEDro Score, Country	Sample size	Intervention	Outcome and significance: (+) significant (-) not significant
		<p>Social and education classes: 8 x 90-minute sessions over 4 weeks.</p> <p>Included group activities such as board games, fall and stroke prevention seminars/discussions, and group outings.</p>	<p>(+) Rivermead Mobility Index*</p> <p>(-) Activities-Specific Balance Confidence Scale</p> <p>(-) Physical Activity and Disability Scale</p> <p>(-) SWAM – mean steps/day</p> <p>(-) SWAM – peak activity index</p> <p>(-) SWAM – steps/minute</p> <p>(-) SWAM – percentage time inactive</p> <p>*Greater decline in control than in the intervention group.</p>
<p>Richards et al., 1993 PEDro score: 6</p>	<p>18 patients with acute stroke</p>	<p>Intensive lower extremity task oriented training (n=10)</p> <p>vs.</p> <p>High intensity conventional rehabilitation (n=8)</p> <p>vs.</p> <p>Low-intensity conventional rehabilitation (n=9)</p> <p>Treatment details: Task-oriented mobility training: 2 x 2-hour sessions, 5 times/week for 5 weeks, starting an average of 8.3 days after stroke. Included use of tilt table, limb-load monitor, resisted exercises with Kinetron isokinetic device and treadmill.</p>	<p><b>At 6 weeks (1 week post end of treatment):</b> Task-oriented mobility training vs. conventional rehabilitation programs combined:</p> <p>(-) Fugl-Meyer Assessment (FMA) – Balance</p> <p>(-) FMA – Upper extremity</p> <p>(-) FMA – Lower extremity</p> <p>(-) Barthel Index</p> <p>(-) Berg Balance Scale</p> <p>(-) 6-Meter Walk Test</p> <p>*NOTE: An effect size of .58 was found that would have represented a clinically significant difference with a larger trial.</p> <p><b>At 3 and at 6-months post-stroke:</b></p>

## Task-Oriented Training – lower extremity / mobility

Author, Year PEDro Score, Country	Sample size	Intervention	Outcome and significance: (+) significant (-) not significant
		<p>High-intensity conventional rehabilitation: 2 x 2-hour sessions/day for 5 weeks, starting an average of 8.8 days after stroke.</p> <p>Low-intensity conventional rehabilitation: 1 x 1-hour session/day for 5 weeks, starting an average of 13 days after stroke.</p>	<p>Task-oriented mobility training vs. conventional rehabilitation programs combined:</p> <p>(-) Fugl-Meyer Assessment (FMA) – Balance                      (-) FMA – Upper extremity                      (-) FMA – Lower extremity                      (-) Barthel Index                      (-) Berg Balance Scale                      (-) 6-Meter Walk Test</p>
<p>Rose et al., 2011                      PEDro score: N/A (quasi-experimental study)</p>	<p>180 patients with acute stroke</p>	<p>Lower extremity task-oriented training (n=78)                      vs.                      Conventional rehabilitation (n=102)</p> <p>Treatment details:                      90 minutes/day, 5 days/week until discharge (19.5 ± 9.4 days of hospitalization).</p> <p>Task-oriented training included a (1) 60-minute circuit training session and that was divided into 4 task-specific stations tailored to patients’ mobility levels; and (2) 30-minute session dedicated to other critical aspects of inpatient rehabilitation: family education, orthotic and wheelchair prescription, and home program education.</p>	<p><b>At discharge (immediately post-treatment):</b>                      (+) 5-Meter Walk Test                      (-) Berg Balance Scale                      (-) Fugl-Meyer Assessment –lower extremity motor subscale                      (-) Fugl-Meyer Assessment –lower extremity sensory subscale</p> <p><b>At 90 days post-stroke (follow-up):</b>                      (-) Stroke Impact Scale                      (-) Functional Independence Measure – phone version</p>

## Task-Oriented Training – lower extremity / mobility

Author, Year PEDro Score, Country	Sample size	Intervention	Outcome and significance: (+) significant (-) not significant
Salbach et al., 2004 PEDro score: 8	91 patients with subacute/chronic stroke	<p>Lower extremity task-oriented training (n=44)</p> <p>vs.</p> <p>Upper extremity task-oriented training (n=47)</p> <p>Treatment details: 3 sessions/week for 6 weeks (session duration not specified).</p> <p>Lower extremity task-oriented training: progressive program of 10 tasks: walking on a treadmill; standing up, walking to, and sitting down on a chair; kicking a soccer ball against the wall; walking along a balance beam; performing step-ups; walking an obstacle course; walking while carrying an object; walking at maximal speed; walking backwards; and walking up and down stairs.</p> <p>Upper extremity task-oriented training: practice of functional UE tasks while sitting.</p>	<p><b>At 6 weeks (immediately post-treatment):</b></p> <p>(+) 6-Minute Walk Test</p> <p>(+) 5-Meter Walk Test – maximal speed</p> <p>(+) 5-Meter Walk Test – comfortable speed</p> <p>(-) Timed Up-and-Go Test</p> <p>(-) Berg Balance Scale</p>
Salbach et al., 2005 PEDro score: 8  (secondary analysis of Salbach et al., 2004 study)	91 patients with subacute/chronic stroke	<p>Task-oriented mobility training (n=44)</p> <p>vs.</p> <p>Upper extremity task-oriented training (n=47)</p> <p><u>Treatment details:</u></p>	<p><b>At 6 weeks (immediately post-treatment):</b></p> <p>(+) Activities-Specific Balance Confidence (ABC) Scale *</p> <p>(+) Geriatric Depression Scale **</p>

## Task-Oriented Training – lower extremity / mobility

Author, Year PEDro Score, Country	Sample size	Intervention	Outcome and significance: (+) significant (-) not significant
		<p>3 times per week for 6 weeks (session duration is unspecified).</p> <p>Lower extremity task-oriented training: progressive program of 10 tasks: walking on a treadmill; standing up, walking to, and sitting down on a chair; kicking a soccer ball against the wall; walking along a balance beam; performing step-ups; walking an obstacle course; walking while carrying an object; walking at maximal speed; walking backwards; and walking up and down stairs.</p> <p>Upper extremity task-oriented training: included practice of functional UE tasks while sitting.</p>	<p>*Significant difference refers to average proportional change in intervention vs. control groups.</p> <p>**Intervention led to significant proportional effect in those with severe depressive symptoms at baseline on proportional change of the ABC Scale after adjusting for the following covariates: age, sex, comorbidity, number of days post-stroke, and baseline functional mobility.</p>
<p>van de Port et al., 2012 PEDro score : 7</p>	<p>250 patients with subacute/chronic stroke</p>	<p>Lower extremity task-oriented circuit training (n=126) vs. Conventional physical therapy (n=124)</p> <p><u>Treatment details:</u> 90-minutes/session, 2 times/week for 12 weeks.</p> <p>Lower extremity task-oriented training: included eight different workstations, intended to improve meaningful tasks relating to walking competency.</p>	<p><b>At 12 weeks (immediately post-treatment):</b></p> <p>(-) Stroke Impact Scale (SIS) – Mobility (-) SIS – Strength (-) SIS – Memory/thinking* (-) SIS – Emotion (-) SIS – Communication (-) SIS – ADL/IADL (-) SIS – Hand function (-) SIS – Participation (-) SIS – Stroke recovery (-) Fatigue Severity Scale (-) Falls Efficacy Scale</p>

## Task-Oriented Training – lower extremity / mobility

Author, Year PEDro Score, Country	Sample size	Intervention	Outcome and significance: (+) significant (-) not significant
			<p>(-) Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale                      (-) Nottingham Extended ADL (NEADL)*                      (-) Rivermead Mobility Index                      (-) Timed Balance Test                      (-) Motricity Index – Arm                      (-) Motricity Index – Leg                      (-) Letter Cancellation Task                      (-) Functional ambulation                      (+) 5-Meter Comfortable Walking Speed Test                      (+) 6-Minute Walk Test                      (-) Timed Up-and-Go test                      (+) Modified Stairs Test</p> <p><b>At 3 months (follow-up):</b></p> <p>(-) Stroke Impact Scale (SIS) – Mobility                      (-) SIS – Strength                      (-) SIS – Memory/thinking                      (-) SIS – Emotion                      (-) SIS – Communication                      (-) SIS – ADL/IADL                      (-) SIS – Hand function                      (-) SIS – Participation                      (-) SIS – Stroke recovery                      (-) Fatigue Severity Scale                      (-) Falls Efficacy Scale                      (-) Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale                      (-) Nottingham Extended ADL (NEADL)</p>

## Task-Oriented Training – lower extremity / mobility

Author, Year PEDro Score, Country	Sample size	Intervention	Outcome and significance: (+) significant (-) not significant
			(-) Rivermead Mobility Index (-) Timed Balance Test (-) Motricity Index – Arm (-) Motricity Index – Leg (-) Letter Cancellation Task (-) Functional ambulation (+) 5-Meter Comfortable Walking Speed Test (-) 6-Minute Walk Test (-) Timed Up-and-Go test (-) Modified Stairs Test  * Note: significant between-group difference in SIS – Memory & Thinking subtest and NEADL- Leisure subtest found in favor of control vs. intervention.
van Vliet et al., 2005 PEDro score: 6	120 patients with acute stroke	Lower extremity task-oriented training based on motor relearning principles (n=60)  vs.  Bobath based physiotherapy (n=60)  Treatment details: The amount of treatment given daily was matched to the amount given by existing ward physiotherapists and treatment continued for as long as was needed.	<b>At 1, 3 and 6 months post-randomization:</b> (-) Rivermead Motor Assessment (-) Motor Assessment Scale (-) Barthel Index * (-) Extended Activities of Daily Living Scale** (-) Ten hole peg test (-) 6-Meter Walk Test (-) Modified Ashworth Scale (-) Nottingham Sensory Assessment

## Task-Oriented Training – lower extremity / mobility

Author, Year PEDro Score, Country	Sample size	Intervention	Outcome and significance: (+) significant (-) not significant
			<p>*One component (bathing) improved significantly in the intervention vs. control group.                      **One component (Go-out socially – leisure section) improved significantly in the intervention vs. control group.</p>
<p>Yang et al., 2006                      PEDro score : 7</p>	<p>48 patients with chronic stroke</p>	<p>Task-oriented progressive resistance strength training (n=24)                       vs.                       No therapy (n=24)   <u>Treatment details:</u>                      30-minutes/session, 3 times/week for 4 weeks.                       Included 6 workstations: (1) standing and reaching in different directions for objects located beyond arm’s length; (2) sit-to-stand from various chair heights; (3) stepping forward and backward onto blocks of various heights; (4) stepping sideways onto blocks of various heights; (5) forward step-up onto blocks of various heights; (6) heel(s) raise and lower while maintaining in a standing posture.</p>	<p><b>At 4 weeks (immediately post-treatment):</b></p> <p>(+) Hand-held dynamometer – hip flexors                      (+) Hand-held dynamometer – hip extensors                      (+) Hand-held dynamometer – knee flexors                      (+) Hand-held dynamometer – knee extensors                      (+) Hand-held dynamometer – ankle dorsiflexors                      (+) Hand-held dynamometer – ankle plantarflexors                      (+) 6-Minute Walk Test                      (+) Step Test                      (+) Timed Up-and-Go Test                      (+) GAITRite – velocity                      (+) GAITRite – cadence                      (+) GAITRite – stride length</p>